Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/604,203	MAJEED ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Susan Hanley	1651
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Susan Hanley</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Dr. Prakash</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 15 December 2006	Time: <u>3:00</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		·
Prior art documents discussed: none	ſ	
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE Dr. Prakash agreed to an Ex. amendment to reformat claim 3 to		S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	ne examiner will provide a written record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
AAA		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	t/Applicant's Representative Sig	gnature – if appropriate)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03)